TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: MN

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

TYPE OF SUBMISSION: Application Pre-application Construction Construction Construction A DATE RECEIVED BY STATE STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER	APPLICATION	ON FOR FEDE	ERAL AS		2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2005	APPLI	CANT IDENTIFIER
Construction	1. TYPE OF SUB	BMISSION:			3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE	APPLICATION IDENTIFIER
Cognizational Unit: Community and Family Health Division	Construction		Const	ruction	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENC	Y FEDER	RAL IDENTIFIER
Community and Family Health Division	5. APPLICANT IN	NFORMATION					
Name and telephonen number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this person.		untimont of Upplit	L				
F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)	Address (give city 85 East 7th Plac P.O. Box 64882 St. Paul, MN 551 County: Ramsey	y, county, state a e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ind zip code	(EIN):	Name and telephone number of the person application (give area code) Name: Jan Jernell Tel Number: 651-281-9883 7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate A. State H. Independent School B. County I. State Controlled Institution C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe	ate letter in bo	x) A
Maternal and Child Health in Minnesota Section Project Project Project	New Co	ontinuation R appropriate lette	er(s) in box(es) \square \square	F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify) 9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY:	stration, Mate	ernal and Child Health Bureau
Start Date: 10/01/2005 Ending Date: 10/01/2005 Applicant B. Project 1-8	NUMBER: 9 3 TITLE: Maternal 12. AREAS AFFE states, etc.):	9 9 4 and Child Healt	h Services	Block Grant	III		
10/01/2005 09/30/2006 4 1-8	13. PROPOSED				14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:		
a. Federal \$ 9,427,664.00 b. Applicant \$ 612,776.00 c. State \$ 7,530,330.00 d. Local \$ 4,255,713.00 e. Other \$ 3,214,647.00 f. Program lncome \$ 95,916.00 lncome \$ 95,916.00 lncome \$ 95,916.00 lncome \$ 25,137,046.00 lncome \$ 25,13							ect
Dave Hovet Financial Management Division Director 651-215-0389	a. Federal b. Applicant c. State d. Local e. Other f. Program Income g. TOTAL	\$ 9,425 \$ 7,55 \$ 4,25 \$ 3,2 \$ 25,15 T OF MY KNOW S BEEN DULY A	27,664.00 12,776.00 30,330.00 55,713.00 14,647.00 95,916.00 37,046.00 //LEDGE AN	a. YES, THIS PEODRER 1237 DATE: b. NO PRO OR F	REAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MAD 2 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON GRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY ICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL E , attach an explanation No NATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICA RINING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND T	STATE FOR DEBT	REVIEW UE AND CORRECT. THE
	a. Typed Name o				b. Title		
		uthorized Repres	sentative		rmancial management Division Difector		

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

MOUDIN	FORM 2			
	DGET DETAILS FOR			
ĮS	Secs. 504 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] STATE: MN			
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION			•	0.407.004
(Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for	:		\$	9,427,664
A.Preventive and primary care for children:				
\$ 3,546,259 (37.62 %)				
B.Children with special health care needs: \$ 3,112,632 (33.02%)				
(If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accord	mpany the application)[Sec. 50	5(a)(3)]		
C.Title V admininstrative costs: \$ 657,279 (6.97%)				
The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]				
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	612,776
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	7,530,330
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	4,255,713
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	3,214,647
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$	95,916
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6)			\$	15,096,606
Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) 6,184,197			<u> </u>	-,,
·				
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK G (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	RANT PARTNERS	HIP (SUBTOTAL) \$	25,137,046
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK G (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS) \$	25,137,046
(Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin		150,000) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS:	sistration of the Title V program \$	150,000) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI:	\$\$	150,000 100,000) \$ <u> </u>	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 3. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS:	\$\$	150,000 100,000 140,000) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) D. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education:	\$\$	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) D. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: e. Healthy Start:	sistration of the Title V program \$	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) D. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: e. Healthy Start: f. EMSC:	sistration of the Title V program S S S S S S S S S S	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072 0) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 3. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: e. Healthy Start: f. EMSC: g. WIC:	sistration of the Title V program S S S S S S S S S S	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072 0 0) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) D. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: e. Healthy Start: f. EMSC: g. WIC: h. AIDS:	sistration of the Title V program S S S S S S S S S S	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072 0 0 101,057,284) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 3. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: e. Healthy Start: f. EMSC: g. WIC: h. AIDS: i. CDC:	sistration of the Title V program S S S S S S S S S S	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072 0 0 101,057,284 0 7,835,597) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 3. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: e. Healthy Start: f. EMSC: g. WIC: h. AIDS: i. CDC: j. Education:	sistration of the Title V program S S S S S S S S S S	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072 0 0 101,057,284 0 7,835,597) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: e. Healthy Start: f. EMSC: g. WIC: h. AIDS: i. CDC: j. Education: k. Other:	sistration of the Title V program S S S S S S S S S S	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072 0 0 101,057,284 0 7,835,597 533,000) \$	25,137,046
Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) D. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS Funds under the control of the person responsible for the admin a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: e. Healthy Start: f. EMSC: g. WIC: h. AIDS: i. CDC: j. Education: k. Other: HRSA	sistration of the Title V program \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	150,000 100,000 140,000 499,072 0 0 101,057,284 0 7,835,597 533,000	\$ <u></u>	25,137,046 123,337,396

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 2
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$9,044,137	\$9,427,666	\$9,545,279	\$0	\$9,427,664	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$1,501,017	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 612,776	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$7,908,866	\$	\$	\$0	\$7,530,330	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$3,887,509	\$4,792,645	\$4,193,082	\$0	\$4,255,713	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$3,031,089	\$ 4,236,956	\$3,251,741	\$0	\$3,214,647	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$95,916	\$95,916	\$0	\$95,916	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$\$25,372,618	\$ 25,623,933	\$\$24,244,977	\$0	\$\$25,137,046	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 81,998,360	\$ 123,708,915	\$ 91,146,572	\$0	\$ 123,337,396	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 107,370,978	\$ 149,332,848	\$ 115,391,549	\$0	\$148,474,442	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$9,672,943	\$ 8,587,462	\$9,388,749	\$8,407,401	\$9,479,150	\$ 9,845,406
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$	\$580,738	\$ 696,049	\$1,114,182	\$ 971,768	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$	\$ 6,876,150	\$7,563,598	\$	\$	\$7,384,055
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$5,443,525	\$5,174,251	\$4,739,339	\$4,833,179	\$4,498,709	\$4,938,666
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$6,779,117	\$5,943,351	\$5,121,739	\$5,238,651	\$4,876,120	\$4,996,549
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$	\$25,000	\$	\$95,916
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 29,644,471	\$ 27,186,952	\$ 27,534,474	\$ 26,759,601	\$ 27,688,936	\$ 27,260,592
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$57,457,475	\$	\$	\$ 92,825,033	\$88,664,195	\$92,462,830
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$87,101,946	\$ 102,086,302	\$107,285,532	\$ 119,584,634	\$116,353,131	\$ 119,723,422
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 3

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Modifications made to adjust expended amounts to conform to federal reporting guidelines. We are unable to modify budget amounts.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Modifications made to adjust expended amounts to conform to federal reporting guidelines. We are unable to modify budget amounts.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Modifications made to adjust expended amounts to conform to federal reporting guidelines. We are unable to modify budget amounts.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Modifications made to adjust expended amounts to conform to federal reporting guidelines. We are unable to modify budget amounts.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Required match amount decreased from projected amount.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Modifications made to adjust expended amounts to conform to federal reporting guidelines. We are unable to modify budget amounts.

Local Match was increased from 25% to 50% on July 1 2003.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Result of increase in grantee match requirements.

8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Modifications made to adjust expended amounts to conform to federal reporting guidelines. We are unable to modify budget amounts.

9. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Result of increase in grantee match requirements.

10. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Local reporting was expanded to include client fees separately . Previously client fees were reported under Local MCH funds.

11. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Client fees were not included in budgets when established.

12. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended
Row Name: Other Federal Funds
Column Name: Expended
Year: 2004
Field Note:
Change in divisional structure in 2004 increased federal funds under the Division Director responsible for the Title V funds.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	2004		FY 2	2005			FY 2	2006	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bu	IDGETED	EXPENDED	Ві	JDGETED	EXPE	NDED	В	JDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	4,028,193	\$5,379,805	\$_	4,767,077	\$	(\$_	5,663,157	\$	(
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	447,577	\$ 597,756	\$_	529,675	\$	(\$_	976,008	\$	(
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$_	10,431,860	\$ 9,456,748	\$_	8,196,363	\$	(\$_	9,299,945	\$	(
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$_	7,795,457	\$7,713,803	\$_	8,308,564	\$	(\$_	7,416,649	\$	(
e. Others	\$_	985,266	\$ 939,798	\$_	919,300	\$	(\$_	1,081,287	\$	(
f. Administration	\$_	1,684,265	\$1,536,023	\$_	1,523,998	\$	(\$_	700,000	\$	(
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	25,372,618	\$ 25,623,933	\$_	24,244,977	\$	0	\$_	25,137,046	\$	0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	contr	ol of the person re	esponsible for admini	stra	ation of the Title V	progra	m).				
a. SPRANS	\$_	0		\$_	406,496			\$	150,000		
b. SSDI	\$_	100,000		\$_	100,000			\$_	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0		\$_	100,000			\$_	140,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$_	499,072		\$_	499,072			\$_	499,072		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0		\$_	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$_	0		\$_	0			\$_	0		
g. WIC	\$_	75,510,144		\$_	83,925,602			\$_	101,057,284		
h. AIDS	\$	0		\$_	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	419,487		\$	574,397			\$	7,835,597		
j. Education	\$_	546,146		\$	546,146			\$	533,000		
k.Other	<u> </u>										
HRSA	\$	550,000		\$_	615,000			\$_	12,866,116		
PRAMS	\$	0		\$_	0			\$_	156,327		
ннѕ	\$_	4,373,511		\$_	4,379,859			\$_	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	1 \$	81,998,360		\$	91,146,572			\$	123,337,396		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	200	1		FY 2	2002	2		FY 2	200	3
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Βυ	DGETED	Ex	(PENDED	Вι	IDGETED	Ex	PENDED	Βυ	DGETED	Ex	PENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	3,972,896	\$	4,513,684	\$	4,281,260	\$	4,120,231	\$	4,283,281	\$	5,094,664
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	441,433	\$	501,520	\$	475,696	\$	457,803	\$	475,920	\$	566,074
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	12,521,561	\$	11,090,606	\$_	10,710,626	\$_	11,455,354	\$	11,318,598	\$_	10,459,758
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	9,354,088	\$	8,341,941	\$	8,976,848	\$	8,260,744	\$	8,754,215	\$	8,712,562
e. Others	\$	1,267,073	\$	1,245,035	\$	1,178,837	\$	964,168	\$	1,002,323	\$_	911,630
f. Administration	\$	2,087,420	\$	1,494,166	\$	1,911,207	\$	1,501,301	\$	1,854,599	\$	1,515,904
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	29,644,471	\$	27,186,952	\$	27,534,474	\$	26,759,601	\$	27,688,936	\$	27,260,592
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	ontr	ol of the person re	esp	onsible for admini	stra	tion of the Title V	prog	gram).				
a. SPRANS	\$	675,151			\$	450,702			\$	481,030		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	100,000			\$	96,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	613,756			\$_	613,756			\$	613,756		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$_	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	50,087,645			\$	66,143,931			\$	71,343,757		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	4,894,836			\$_	4,050,536			\$	823,054		
j. Education	\$	582,815			\$	410,000			\$	410,000	ĺ	
k.Other]											
HHS	\$	0			\$_	0			\$	14,187,945		
NHTSA/CODES	\$	110,014			\$_	0			\$	58,559		
USDA-CSFP	\$	0			\$	0			\$	650,094		
HHS/TITLE X	\$	0			\$	187,945			\$	0		
HRSA/TANF	\$	0			\$_	7,000,000			\$	0		
NSTSA/CODES	\$	0			\$_	140,797			\$	0	ĺ	
USDA/CSFP	\$	393,258			\$_	653,391			\$	0	İ	
III. SUBTOTAL		57,457,475			\$	79,751,058			\$	88,664,195	j	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 4

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 4 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

Locals provided more services to pregnant women.

2. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgets could not be adjusted to reflect the increase in expenditures noted in previous years.

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 4 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

Restrictions in Mn Law related to the use of MCH funds were removed on July 1, 2003 creating more flexibility and options for local priorities

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Reflective of the increase seen in services provided to pregnant women.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 4 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 4 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

7. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 4 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

B. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 4 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

Local and MDH spent less that anticipated thus admin was less.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2004	FY :	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$	\$	\$	\$0	\$ 6,598,752	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,165,582	\$1,129,708	\$1,510,523	\$0	\$1,054,607	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 7,168,726	\$9,214,191	\$	\$0	\$ 8,341,530	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$9,282,533	\$8,107,396	\$8,278,633	\$0	\$9,142,157	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$25,372,618	\$25,623,933	\$24,244,977	\$0	\$25,137,046	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2	2003
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 10,333,764	\$ 10,672,238	\$ 10,387,392	\$ 9,884,062	\$	\$8,647,439
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,265,874	\$1,441,560	\$1,435,059	\$1,266,086	\$1,404,163	\$1,728,189
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$10,930,398	\$ 8,756,429	\$ 8,672,036	\$ 8,556,846	\$ 8,765,573	\$8,589,917
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$7,114,435	\$6,317,725	\$	\$	\$8,145,317	\$8,295,047
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$29,644,471	\$27,187,952	\$27,534,474	\$26,759,601	\$27,688,936	\$27,260,592

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 5

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Field Note:

Form 5 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 5 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

Loss of alternative funding sources for Enabling services required locals to redirect block grant funding to this area.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended
Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

Form 5 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

Higher priority on population based services than anticipated..

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 5 has been updated due to modifications made on form 3.

Section Number: Main Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services
Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Increase in infrastructure expenditures did not occur as anticipated. Remained similar to 2003 expenditures.

			FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED														
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)														
STATE: MN														
Total Births by Occurrence: 70,053 Reporting Year: 2003														
Type of Screening Tests	Screening Tests (1) Presumptive Confirmed Received Ireatment(3)													
	Screening Tests No. % Presumptive Positive Screens Confirmed Cases (2) No. %													
Phenylketonuria	70,053	100	67	16	16	100								
Congenital Hypothyroidism	70,053	100	291	27	27	100								
Galactosemia	70,053	100	20	1	1	100								
Sickle Cell Disease	70,053	100	1,796	11	11	100								
Other Screening	(Specify)													
Organic Acidemia	70,053	100	44	9	9	100								
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	70,053	100	340	3	3	100								
Fatty Acid Oxidation Disorders	70,053	100	35	14	14	100								
Screening Progra	ams for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)										
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.														
_	-	-	-											

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 6

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_Presumptive Row Name: Phenylketonuria

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

This number - 67 - is the category of amino acidemia that includes PKU

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Presumptive

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This number - 1796 - includes all hemoglobinopathies

Section Number: Main Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Confirmed Cases Year: 2006

Field Note:

This number - 11 - includes sickle Cell and Thallasemias

Section Number: Other Screening Types

Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Minnesota screens for 35 disorders within 7 categories.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: MN

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE									
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %					
Pregnant Women	10,748	54.3		28.8		17.0					
Infants < 1 year old	70,053	62.2		32.7		5.0					
Children 1 to 22 years old	20,215	34.1		59.8		6.1					
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	5,658	51.5		28.7		19.9					
Others	1,468	0.5		98.4		1.0					
TOTAL	108,142										

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 7
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: MN

Reporting Year: 2003

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	70,053	58,949	5,291	1,389	3,835			589
Title V Served	6,031	3,997	434	429	316	19	17	819
Eligible for Title XIX	25,643	13,366	3,629	876	1,232		967	5,573
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	65,439	55,434	5,545	1,199	3,261			
Title V Served	3,507	2,080	496	133	222		103	473
Eligible for Title XIX	27,312	14,302	3,931	917	1,308		1,024	5,830

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)						
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	63,764	4,920	1,369					4,920		
Title V Served	3,757	1,455	891					1,455		
Eligible for Title XIX	4,007	78						78		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	61,101	4,338						4,338		
Title V Served	2,286	748	472					748		
Eligible for Title XIX	22,925	4,308	79					4,308		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 8
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MN

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 728-5420	(800) 728-5420	(800) 728-5420	(800) 728-5420	(800) 728-5420
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Information and Referral Line	Information and Referral Line			
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Sarah Thorson	Sarah Thorson	Sarah Thorson	Margy Robertson	Margy Robertson
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(651) 281-9992	(651) 281-9992	(651) 281-9992	(651) 281-9972	(651) 281-9972
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		657	2,057	3,047

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MN

FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
0	0	0	0	0
		FY 2006 FY 2005	FY 2006 FY 2005 FY 2004	FY 2006 FY 2005 FY 2004 FY 2003

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 9

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: calls_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

This drop in call numbers is due to the termination of the MCSHN treatment and evaluation program. This includes only calls to the toll free number and not calls made directly to district office MCSHN staff.

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: MN

1. State MCH Administration:

The Minnesota Department of Health, Community and Family Health (CFH) Division is organized into six sections: Office of Public Health Practice, Office of Rural Health and Primary Care, WIC/Supplemental Nutrition Program Section, Maternal and Child Health Section, Minnesota Children with Special Health Needs (MCSHN) Section, and Integrated Support for Cross Divisional Activities Section. Title V programs are administered in the latter three of these sections. Examples of program activities administered under these Sections include blood spot and hearing newborn screening; infant mortality reduction; women's health; perinatal health; family planning; abstinence education; MCSHN clinics; MCSHN information and assistance phone line; MCSHN Medical Home grant; Part C; mental health promotion and suicide prevention; child and adolescent health; oral health; and MCH epidemiology.

Block Grant Funds		
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 9,427,664	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 612,776	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 7,530,330	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 4,255,713	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 3,214,647	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 95,916	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 25,137,046	
Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:		Local Public Health Agencies
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)		Local Public Health Agencies
	10,748	Local Public Health Agencies
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)	10,748 70,053	Local Public Health Agencies
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7) a. Pregnant Women	,	Local Public Health Agencies
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7) a. Pregnant Women b. Infants < 1 year old	70,053	Local Public Health Agencies

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

e. Others

1) The MCSHN Medical Home grant supports capacity building and system development in the medical provider sector. 2) Title V staff are partnered with MDH laboratory staff, the University of Minnesota, and Mayo Clinic to provide newborn screening services and follow-up activities. 3) The Title V oral health grant supported pilot sites at WIC clinics to provide screening and parent education about oral health.

1,468

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

- 1) Universal Newborn Hearing Screening is continuing to enhance integration with Newborn Bloodspot Screening and Birth Defects Information System. 2) Department of Health staff, Department of Human Services staff and Tribal Health Directors continue to come together regularly around issues of American Indian Health. 3) The MCSHN Follow-Along Program provides screening for children up to age 3 years, including in the social emotional domain of development.
- c. Infrastructure Building Services:

- 1) MCH has partnered with the ACOG, Planned Parenthood, on the CDC and Robert Wood Johnson project on smoking cessation for pregnant women. 2) Ongoing PRAMS activities continue to grow time and expanded data for analysis. 3) The expanded MCH data and epidemiology capacity supports planning, analysis, and evaluation across Title V activities. 4) Title V sponsored trainings provide information and skill development in the area of maternal, infant and children's mental health, and reimbursement for MH services.
- 12. The primary Title V Program contact person:
- 13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Jan Jernell	Name	John Hurley
Title	Title V Coordinator	Title	MCSHN Section Manager
Address	MN Dept. of Health, PO Box 64885	Address	MN Dept. of Health, PO Box 64885
City	St. Paul	City	St. Paul
State	MN	State	MN
Zip	55164-0882	Zip	55164-0882

Phone	651-281-9883	Phone	651-281-9884	
Fax	651-215-8953	Fax	651-215-8953	
Email jan	.jernell@state.mn.us	Email	john.hurley@state.mn.us	
Web ww	w.health.sate.mn.us	Web	www.health.sate.mn.us	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES
[Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)]
STATE: MN

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator		98.5	99.7	100.0	
Numerator		65,618	67,839	2,593	
Denominator		66,617	68,034	2,593	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator		ne Objectives for the a	bove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators a
Denominator		ire year uald.			

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual C	Objective and Perforr	nance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				59.1	59.1
Annual Indicator			59.1	59.1	
Numerator				97,156	
Denominator				164,329	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	63	63	63	63	63

Denominator

Annual Indicator
Numerator
Numerator
Numerator
Not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03						
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compreh	nensive care within a r	nedical home. (CSHC	N Survey)	
			Objective and Perfor			
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	40.7
Annual Performance Objective				48.7		48.7
Annual Indicator			48.7	48.7		48.7
Numerator				80,059		
Denominator				164,392		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisiona	al
		A	Objective and Berfer	Data		
	2005	2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		53.6	53.6	54		54
Annual Indicator						
	Please fill in only the	he Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indic	ators a
Numerator	not required for fut	ure vear data.				
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Denominator						
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04			or public incurence to	now for the convices the	nov pood (C	SHON
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh			or public insurance to	pay for the services th	ney need. (C	SHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and/o	or public insurance to	. •	ney need. (C	SHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey)	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o	Objective and Perfor	. •	ney need. (C	SHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003		68.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 68.8		68.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 68.8 68.8		68.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 68.8 68.8 113,101		68.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor	68.8 68.8 113,101 164,392	2004	68.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 68.8 Objective and Perfor	68.8 68.8 113,101 164,392 Final	2004 Provisiona	68.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	ose families have a	Annual o	Objective and Perfor 2002 68.8 Objective and Perfor 2007	68.8 68.8 68.8 113,101 164,392 Final mance Data 2008	2004	68.8 68.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 68.8 Objective and Perfor	68.8 68.8 113,101 164,392 Final	2004 Provisiona	68.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005 70	Annual of Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 68.8 Objective and Perfor 2007	68.8 68.8 68.8 113,101 164,392 Final mance Data 2008 70	2004 Provisiona 2009	68.8 68.8

irvey)			Annual C	Objective and	d Dorfor	manaa Dat	•		
	2000	2001	Annual C	2002	a Periori	2003	<u>d</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective							73.5		73.5
Annual Indicator					73.5		73.5	-	73.5
Numerator						-	120,828		
Denominator	-						164,392		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final	101,002	Provisiona	1
			Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
	2000	_000							
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	78.5		78.5	above vears. I	78.5 Numerat	or. Denomi	79	Annual Indic	
•	78.5 Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objective	es for the a	above years. I		or, Denomii		Annual Indic	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	78.5 Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objective ture year da	es for the a		Numerat		nator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator	78.5 Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objective ture year da	es for the a ata. se transition	n to all aspect	Numerat	lt life. (CSH	nator and		ators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	78.5 Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objective ture year da	es for the a ata. se transition	n to all aspect	Numerat	ilt life. (CSH	nator and	y)	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 e percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	78.5 Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objective ture year da ssary to mak	es for the a tta. se transition	n to all aspect Objective and 2002	Numerat	lt life. (CSH	nator and CN Surve		ators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 Percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	78.5 Please fill in only not required for fu	ssary to mak	es for the a tta. xe transitior Annual C	n to all aspect Objective and 2002	Numerat ts of adu	ilt life. (CSH	CN Surve	y)	ators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 e percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	78.5 Please fill in only not required for future the services necessary.	the Objective ture year da ssary to mak	es for the a ata. se transition	n to all aspect Objective and 2002	Numerat	ilt life. (CSH	CN Surve	y)	ators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 e percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	78.5 Please fill in only not required for further the services necessity.	the Objective ture year da ssary to mak	es for the a ata. se transition	n to all aspect Objective and 2002	Numerat ts of adu	lt life. (CSH mance Data 2003	CN Surve 2 5.8 9,535	y)	ators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 e percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	78.5 Please fill in only not required for future the services necesses 2000	the Objective ture year da ssary to mak	es for the a ata. se transition	n to all aspect Objective and 2002	Numerat ts of adu	lit life. (CSH mance Data 2003	CN Surve	2004	5. 5.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	78.5 Please fill in only not required for future the services necesses 2000	the Objective ture year da ssary to mak	es for the a ata. se transition	n to all aspect Objective and 2002	Numerat ts of adu	lt life. (CSH mance Data 2003	CN Surve 2 5.8 9,535	y)	5. 5.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 e percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	78.5 Please fill in only not required for future the services necesses 2000	the Objective ture year da ssary to mak	es for the a	n to all aspect Dbjective and 2002	Numerat ts of adu d Perfor	ilt life. (CSH mance Date 2003 Final	5.8 9,535	2004	5.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 e percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	78.5 Please fill in only not required for future the services necesses 2000	the Objective ture year da ssary to mak	es for the a	n to all aspect Objective and 2002	Numerat ts of adu d Perfor	ilt life. (CSH mance Date 2003 Final	5.8 9,535	2004	5.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07 Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age	appropriate immur	nizations a	igainst Mea	sles, Mumps, Rubella	a, Polio, Diphth	eria, Tet	tanus, Pert	ussis,
laemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.			Annual (Objective and Perfor	rmance Data			
	2000	2001	Ailliuai	2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	90		90	90		90		90
Annual Indicator	82.4		76.3	76.6		83.9		
Numerator	59,421		50,295	49,053	55	5,373		
	72,138		65,918	64,037	65	5,999		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final			
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor				
	2005	2006	00	2007	2008	00	2009	•
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	90	-	90	90		90		9
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominat	tor and A	Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominat	tor and A	Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu	ure yéar d	ata.	Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	tor and A		cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	not required for futu	ne Objectiv ure year d	ata. Annual C	Objective and Perfor			Annual Indi	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	2000 15.9	ure yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Perfore 2002	rmance Data	13.5		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 15.9	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2003	13.5 13.4		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 15.9 1,710	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfore 2002	rmance Data 2003	13.5		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15.9	2001	Annual C	2002 14	2003	13.5 13.4		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 15.9 1,710	2001	Annual C 15.9 13.9 1,529	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 14 14.2 1,572	rmance Data 2003	13.5 13.4 1,467		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15.9 1,710	2001	Annual C 15.9 13.9 1,529 110,039	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 14 14.2 1,572 110,604	rmance Data 2003 108 Final	13.5 13.4 1,467		13.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15.9 1,710	2001	Annual C 15.9 13.9 1,529 110,039	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 14 14.2 1,572	rmance Data 2003 108 Final	13.5 13.4 1,467		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15.9 15.5 1,710 110,039	2001	Annual C 15.9 13.9 1,529 110,039	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 14 14.2 1,572 110,604 Dbjective and Perfor	rmance Data 2003 108 Final	13.5 13.4 1,467	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15.9 15.5 1,710 110,039 2005	2001 2006	Annual C 15.9 13.9 1,529 110,039 Annual C	2002 14 14.2 1,572 110,604 2007	rmance Data 2003 100 Final rmance Data 2008	13.5 13.4 1,467 9,237	2004	13.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 15.9 15.5 1,710 110,039 2005	2001 2006	Annual C 15.9 13.9 1,529 110,039 Annual C	2002 14 14.2 1,572 110,604 Dbjective and Perfore 2007	rmance Data 2003 100 Final rmance Data 2008	13.5 13.4 1,467 9,237	2004	13

cent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one perr	manent molar tooth.				
	2000	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		14	15	2003	2004	17
	11.1			10.4		
	12,357			12,861	-	
		114,050		124,201		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		114,000	123,030	Final		
io the sata i fevicional of i mai.						
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Denominator	Please fill in only the	Ohi	18 above years. Numera		Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data. es per 100,000 childr	above years. Numera en. Objective and Perfor	tor, Denominator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	Please fill in only the not required for future motor vehicle crashe	ne Objectives for the ure year data. es per 100,000 childr Annual (above years. Numera en. Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	Annual India	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future motor vehicle crashed 2000	ne Objectives for the ure year data. es per 100,000 childr Annual (en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6	rmance Data 2003		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future motor vehicle crashed 2000 2.9	es per 100,000 childr Annual (2001 2.8 2.6	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 4.2	rmance Data 2003 2.5 3.5		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fute motor vehicle crashed 2000 2.9 3.1 33	es per 100,000 childr Annual (2001 2.8 2.6	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 4.2	rmance Data 2003		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute motor vehicle crashed 2000 2.9 3.1 33 1,060,493	es per 100,000 childr Annual (2001 2.8 2.6	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 4.2	rmance Data 2003 2.5 3.5 36 1,024,333		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fute motor vehicle crashed 2000 2.9 3.1 33 1,060,493	es per 100,000 childr Annual (2001 2.8 2.6	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 4.2	rmance Data 2003 2.5 3.5		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute motor vehicle crashed 2000 2.9 3.1 33 1,060,493	es per 100,000 childr Annual (2001) 2.8 2.6 27 1,039,285	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 4.2 46 1,085,097	tor, Denominator and Tmance Data 2003 2.5 3.5 36 1,024,333 Final		1 ccators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute motor vehicle crashed 2000 2.9 3.1 33 1,060,493	es per 100,000 childr Annual (2001) 2.8 2.6 27 1,039,285	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 4.2	tor, Denominator and Tmance Data 2003 2.5 3.5 36 1,024,333 Final		cators

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11						
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha	rge.					
			Objective and Perfor			
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	0.5
Annual Performance Objective		76		82		85
	76.0	<u> </u>		77.3		
Numerator	51,226	45,766	52,694	54,151		
Denominator	67,403	66,617	68,034	70,053		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final		
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	86	88	89	90		9
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu	ure year data.			Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	Annual Indio	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annual 2001 65	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 95		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Procentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 45 61.0	Annual 2001 65	Objective and Perfor 2002 85 59.3	mance Data 2003 95 77.0		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 45 61.0 42,573	Annual 2001 65 75.0 50,566	Objective and Perfor 2002 85 59.3 38,106	77.0 53,904		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 45 61.0 42,573 69,795	Annual 2001 65 75.0 50,566	Objective and Perfor 2002 85 59.3 38,106	77.0 53,904		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 45 61.0 42,573 69,795	Annual 2001 65 75.0 50,566	Objective and Perfor 2002 85 59.3 38,106	77.0 53,904		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 45 61.0 42,573 69,795	Annual 2001 65 75.0 50,566 67,422	Objective and Perfor 2002 85 59.3 38,106	77.0 53,904 70,006		9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 45 61.0 42,573 69,795	Annual 2001 65 75.0 50,566 67,422 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002	77.0 53,904 70,006 Final		9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000	Annual 2001 65 75.0 50,566 67,422	Objective and Perfor 2002	77.0 53,904 70,006 Final	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 45 61.0 42,573 69,795	Annual 2001 65 75.0 50,566 67,422 Annual 2006 98	Objective and Perfor 2002	77.0 53,904 70,006 Final 70,008	2004	ę

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
ercent of children without health insurance.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	3.2	3	2.8	2.6	2.
Annual Indicator	5.8	6.4	6.5	6.5	
Numerator	74,640	84,039	81,784	86,173	
Denominator	1,286,894	1,313,116	1,258,216	1,325,744	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		Δnnual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2
Numerator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for future fill for future for future fill for future for future fill for future fill fill for future fill for future fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for paid by the N	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of pote	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the N	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9	mance Data 2003 90	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of poten	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 87.2 86.9	Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6 86.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9 88.1	mance Data 2003 90 88.1	2004 90. 87
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of potent	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the Note 1000 87.2 86.9 315,759	wedicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6 86.6 310,156	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9 88.1 355,851	mance Data 2003 90 88.1 355,484	2004 90. 87. 397,00
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of potent	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6 86.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9 88.1 355,851	90 88.1 355,484 403,484	2004 90. 87. 397,00 453,00
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of pote	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	wedicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6 86.6 310,156	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9 88.1 355,851	mance Data 2003 90 88.1 355,484	2004 90. 87. 397,00
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of potent	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	wedicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6 86.6 310,156 358,000	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9 88.1 355,851	90 88.1 355,484 403,484 Final	2004 90. 87. 397,00 453,00
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of potent	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Medicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6 86.6 310,156 358,000 Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9 88.1 355,851 404,000 Objective and Perfor 2007	90 88.1 355,484 403,484 Final	2004 90. 87. 397,00 453,00 Final
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	wedicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6 86.6 310,156 358,000	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9 88.1 355,851 404,000 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 90 88.1 355,484 403,484 Final	2004 90. 87. 397,00 453,00 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	wedicaid Program. Annual 2001 89.6 86.6 310,156 358,000 Annual 2006 90.2	Objective and Perfor 2002 89.9 88.1 355,851 404,000 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 90 88.1 355,484 403,484 Final mance Data 2008 90.2	2004 90 87 397,00 453,00 Final

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15									
The percent of very low birth weight infants among all live bi	irths.								
				Annual C	bjective and Perfo		<u>ıta</u>		
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance 0	Objective	1.05		1	1		1		1
Annual	Indicator			1.2	1.2		1.1		
N	lumerator	746		819	828		760		
Den	nominator	67,403		66,587	68,010		70,023		
Is the Data Provisional	or Final?					Final			
				Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da	ı <u>ta</u>		
	2005		2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance C	Objective	1		0.9	0.9		0.9		0.
No.	not requ	fill in only th uired for futu	e Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numer	ator, Denom	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not requ	fill in only th uired for futu	e Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	bove years. Numer	ator, Denom	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not requ	fill in only th uired for futu	e Objectiv ure year d	ata.	bove years. Numer			Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not requ	fill in only th uired for futu	e Objectivure year d	ata.				Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required to the required t	uired for futu	ure year d	ata.	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da 2003			
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged Annual Performance 0	not required to the required t	uired for futu	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da 2003	ı <u>ta</u>		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged Annual Performance C	nominator not required 15 through 19. 2000 Objective	8.7 7.7	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfo 2002 8.5	ormance Da 2003	1 ta		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged Annual Performance C Annual	not required to not required 15 through 19. 2000 Objective	8.7 7.7 29	2001	Annual C 8.6 10.3 37	Objective and Perfo 2002 8.5	ormance Da 2003	8.4 10.1		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged Annual Performance Communication Annual No.	not required to not required to the through 19. 2000 Objective Indicator Jumerator	8.7 7.7 29	2001	Annual C 8.6 10.3 37	20bjective and Perfo 2002 8.5 8.9	ormance Da 2003	8.4 10.1 38		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged Annual Performance C Annual No	not required to not required to the through 19. 2000 Objective Indicator Jumerator	8.7 7.7 29	2001	8.6 10.3 37 357,513	20bjective and Perfo 2002 8.5 8.9	prmance Da 2003 	8.4 10.1 38 376,843		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged Annual Performance C Annual No	not required to not required to the through 19. 2000 Objective Indicator Jumerator	8.7 7.7 29	2001	8.6 10.3 37 357,513	2002 8.5 8.9 34 383,051	prmance Da 2003 	8.4 10.1 38 376,843		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged Annual Performance C Annual No	nominator not required 15 through 19. 2000 Objective Indicator I	8.7 7.7 29 374,362	2001	8.6 10.3 37 357,513	2002 8.5 8.9 34 383,051	Final prmance Da 2003	8.4 10.1 38 376,843	2004	8.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged Annual Performance C Annual No Dent Is the Data Provisional Annual Performance C Annual	nominator 2000 Objective Indicator or Final? 2005 Objective	8.7 7.7 29 374,362	2001 2006	Annual C 8.6 10.3 37 357,513 Annual C	2002 8.5 8.9 34 383,051 20bjective and Perfo	Final 2008	8.4 10.1 38 376,843	2004	8.

		<u>A</u>	Annual Objec	tive and Perfor	mance Data			
	2000	2001	200	02	2003	20	004	
Annual Performance Objective	89		90	96		79		8
Annual Indicator	85.7		96.0	77.5		76.8		
Numerator	589		752	642		584		
Denominator	687		783	828		760		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final			
		Α	Annual Objec	tive and Perfor	mance Data			
	2005	2006	200	07	2008	20	009	
	2003	2000						
FORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only t not required for fut	ne Objectives ure year data.	for the above	84 years. Numera	tor, Denominat	85 or and Ann	nual Indic	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for fut	ne Objectives ure year data.	for the above	years. Numera			nual Indic	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only t not required for fut	ne Objectives ure year data.	for the above	years. Numera		or and Ann	nual Indic	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only to not required for fut ginning in the first to	ne Objectives ure year data. imester.	for the above	years. Numera	mance Data	or and Ann		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only to not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000	ne Objectives ure year data. imester.	for the above	tive and Perfor	mance Data	or and Ann		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Int of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only to not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 86.2	ine Objectives ure year data. imester. 2001	Annual Object 87.1	tive and Perfor	mance Data 2003	or and Ann 20 88.8		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 86.2 84.9 53,946	imester.	Annual Object 87.1 84.6	tive and Perfor	mance Data 2003 	20 88.8 86.5		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 86.2 84.9 53,946 63,574	imester.	Annual Objec 200 87.1 84.6	tive and Perfor 02 87.9 85.5 55,987	mance Data 2003 	20 88.8 86.5 7,935		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 86.2 84.9 53,946 63,574	imester.	Annual Object 200 87.1 84.6 54,090 63,916	87.9 85.5 55,987 65,490	2003 57 66 Final	20 88.8 86.5 7,935		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 86.2 84.9 53,946 63,574	imester.	Annual Object 200 87.1 84.6 54,090 63,916	tive and Perfor 02 87.9 85.5 55,987 65,490	2003 57 66 Final	20 88.8 86.5 7,935 5,963		cators

e percent of children, aged birth to three, who are provided with or	ngoing screening f	or developm	ental or med	uicai concerns in	ougn the	Follow Along P	rogram.	
			Annual (Objective and P				
AIR. f	2000	2001		2002	200 80	92 82	2004	8-
Annual Performance Objectiv					_			
	r				1.9 	89.1	-	84.
	r			16,4	_	17,753		16,62
Denominato			195,989	200,7		199,344		196,88
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?				Fin	al	Final	
			Annual (Objective and P	erformano	e Data		
	2005	2006		2007	200		2009	
	2003							
Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	r Please fill in only not required for	3	ves for the a	above years. Nur	90 nerator, Do	90 enominator and	Annual I	
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only not required for	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a		nerator, De	enominator and		
Annual Indicato Numerato	Please fill in only not required for r	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a lata.	ully accepted star	nerator, Do	enominator and	Ith visits.	
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with	Please fill in only not required for r h no gap > 45 day	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a lata. eive nationa	illy accepted star	dard comperformance	enominator and prehensive hea se Data 03		ndicators
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only not required for r h no gap > 45 day 2000	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a lata. eive nationa Annual (ully accepted star Dipiective and P 2002	dard comperformance 200	enominator and prehensive heate Data	Ith visits.	ndicators
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato	Please fill in only not required for r h no gap > 45 day 2000 34.	the Objecti uture year of s & who rec	ves for the a lata. eive nationa Annual C 52 36.5	ally accepted star Dbjective and P 2002	dard comperformance 200	enominator and prehensive hea se Data 03	Ith visits.	ndicators
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	Please fill in only not required for r h no gap > 45 day 2000 34. 23,43	the Objecti uture year of s & who rec 2001	ves for the a data. eive nationa Annual (52 36.5 60,089	olly accepted star Dispective and P 2002 3 25,3	dard comperformance 2005	enominator and prehensive heate Data	Ith visits.	ndicators
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	Please fill in only not required for r h no gap > 45 day 2000 2 34. 2 3,43 7 67,59	the Objecti uture year of s & who rec 2001	ves for the a lata. eive nationa Annual C 52 36.5	olly accepted star Dipjective and P 2002 3 25,3	dard comperformance 200 54 80 74	porehensive heats to Data 23 56 40	Ith visits.	ndicators
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	Please fill in only not required for r h no gap > 45 day 2000 2 34. 2 3,43 7 67,59	the Objecti uture year of s & who rec 2001	ves for the a data. eive nationa Annual (52 36.5 60,089	olly accepted star Dispective and P 2002 3 25,3	dard comperformance 2005	porehensive heats to Data 23 56 40	Ith visits.	ndicators 5
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	Please fill in only not required for r h no gap > 45 day 2000 2 34. 2 3,43 7 67,59	the Objecti uture year of s & who rec 2001	ves for the a data. eive nationa Annual (52 36.5 60,089 164,771	Objective and P 2002 3 25,3	dard comperformance 200 54 3.3 80 Fin	prehensive heate Data 3 56 40	Ith visits.	ndicators
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	Please fill in only not required for r h no gap > 45 day 2000 2 34. 2 3,43 7 67,59	the Objecti uture year of s & who rec 2001	ves for the a data. eive nationa Annual (52 36.5 60,089 164,771	olly accepted star Dispective and P 2002 3 25,3	dard comperformance 200 54 3.3 80 Fin	prehensive heate Data 3 56 40	Ith visits.	ndicators

cidence of injury (violence/unintended; fatal/nonfatal) to all MCH pop	oulations.					
	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		340		340		340
	334.4	360.5	374.7	363.1		
	7,806	8,574	9,024	8,391		
Denominator			2,408,293	2,310,771		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final		
	2005		Objective and Perfor		2000	
Amusal Bonformanae Objective	2005 340	2006 340	2007 340	2008 340	2009	340
Annual Performance Objective		340	340	340	-	34
Annual Indicator						
	Diagon fill in only th	an Obinativan for the	above veers Numeros	tor Donominator and	Annual Indi	iootoro
Numerator	Please fill in only the	ne Objectives for the ure vear data	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indi	icators a
Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indi	icators a
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for future for a child's care.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		icators a
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 idence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible	not required for future for a child's care.	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	Annual Indi	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 idence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible Annual Performance Objective	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38	ure year data. Annual 2001 7.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5	mance Data		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 idence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7	Annual 2001 7.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3	mance Data 2003 7.3 6.6		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 cidence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7 11,169	Annual 2001 7.0 7.7 9,876	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3 9,394	7.3 6.6 8,750		
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 idence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7 11,169 1,286,894	Annual 2001 7.0 7.7 9,876	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3 9,394	mance Data 2003 7.3 6.6		
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7 11,169 1,286,894	Annual 2001 7.0 7.7 9,876	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3 9,394	7.3 6.6 8,750 1,325,744		
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7 11,169 1,286,894	Annual 2001 7.0 7.7 9,876 1,286,894	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3 9,394 1,286,894 Objective and Perfor	7.3 7.3 6.6 8,750 1,325,744 Final	2004	7.2
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 idence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7 11,169 1,286,894	Annual 2001 7.0 7.7 9,876 1,286,894 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3 9,394 1,286,894 Objective and Perfor 2007	7.3 6.6 8,750 1,325,744 Final		7.:
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 cidence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7 11,169 1,286,894 2005 7.2	Annual 2001 7.0 7.7 9,876 1,286,894	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3 9,394 1,286,894 Objective and Perfor 2007	7.3 7.3 6.6 8,750 1,325,744 Final	2004	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 cidence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7 11,169 1,286,894 2005 7.2	Annual 2001 7.0 7.7 9,876 1,286,894 Annual 2006 7.1	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3 9,394 1,286,894 Objective and Perfor 2007	7.3 7.3 6.6 8,750 1,325,744 Final 7 mance Data 2008 7	2004	7.2
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 cidence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future for a child's care. 2000 7.38 8.7 11,169 1,286,894 2005 7.2 Please fill in only the not required for future for	Annual 2001 7.0 7.7 9,876 1,286,894 Annual 2006 7.1	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 7.3 9,394 1,286,894 Objective and Perfor 2007	7.3 7.3 6.6 8,750 1,325,744 Final 7 mance Data 2008 7	2004	7.

Percent of pregnancies that are unintended.								
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Dat	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	43.2		42	41		40		39
Annual Indicator				43.0		43		
Numerator				848				
Denominator				1,971				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final			
			A	hinative and Deuten				
	2005	2006	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Dai	<u>ta</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	38	38	2000	38	2000	38
Annual Indicator					1			
	Please fill in only th	ne Objective	es for the al	bove years. Numera	tor Denomi	inator and	Annual Indi	cators :
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objective ure vear dat	es for the al	bove years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objective ure year da	es for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	not required for fut	ne Objective ure year da	ta.				Annual Indi	cators a
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	not required for futo	ure year da	ta.	bjective and Perfor	mance Da			cators a
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p	not required for future regnancy	ne Objective ure year dat	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002		<u>ta</u>	Annual Indi	
FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p Annual Performance Objective	regnancy 2000 12.1	ure year da	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	t <u>a</u>		
FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Forcent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	regnancy 2000 12.1 12.1	2001	Annual O 12.1 12.4	bjective and Perfor 2002 12 11.1	mance Da	11.1 10.0		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	regnancy 2000 12.1 7,496	2001	Annual O 12.1 12.4 7,361	bjective and Perfor 2002 12 11.1 7,337	mance Da	11.1 10.0 6,713		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	regnancy 2000 12.1 7,496 61,800	2001	Annual O 12.1 12.4	bjective and Perfor 2002 12 11.1	mance Date 2003	11.1 10.0		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	regnancy 2000 12.1 7,496 61,800	2001	Annual O 12.1 12.4 7,361	bjective and Perfor 2002 12 11.1 7,337	mance Da	11.1 10.0 6,713		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	regnancy 2000 12.1 7,496 61,800	2001	Annual O 12.1 12.4 7,361 59,324	bjective and Perfor 2002 12 11.1 7,337	Pinal	11.1 10.0 6,713 66,805		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	regnancy 2000 12.1 12.1 7,496 61,800	2001	Annual O 12.1 12.4 7,361 59,324 Annual O	12 11.1 7,337 66,111	2003 Final	11.1 10.0 6,713 66,805		1.
Annual Performance Objective Annual Provisional or Final?	regnancy 2000 12.1 12.1 7,496 61,800 2005	2001	Annual O 12.1 12.4 7,361 59,324	bjective and Perfor 2002 12 11.1 7,337 66,111	Pinal	11.1 10.0 6,713 66,805	2004	
Annual Performance Objective Annual Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	regnancy 2000 12.1 12.1 7,496 61,800 2005	2001 2006	Annual O 12.1 12.4 7,361 59,324 Annual O 10.5	12 11.1 7,337 66,111 bjective and Perfor 2007	Final 2008	11.1 10.0 6,713 66,805	2004	1
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during p Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 12.1 12.1 7,496 61,800 2005 Please fill in only the not required for futh	2001 2006	Annual O 12.1 12.4 7,361 59,324 Annual O 10.5	12 11.1 7,337 66,111	Final 2008	11.1 10.0 6,713 66,805	2004	1

Denominator

tate per 1,000 children with disabilities who are in out- of-home place	ement due to disabili	ties.			
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	·		9	9	
Annual Indicator	3.2	9.1	9.7	8.0	
Numerato	206	588	623	549	
Denominato	64,345	64,345	64,345	68,682	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Final	
			Objective and Perfor		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	99	9	9	9	
Annual Indicator					
Numerato	not required for fut	ura vaar data	above years. Numera	, 20.10.1	,aaaa
Danaminata	not required for future	ure year data.			
Denominato					
TATE DEDECOMANCE MEASURE # 10					
	ovo on Individual Inte	araganay Intervention	Plan (IIP) who have	o IIID	
	ave an Individual Inte	9	, ,		
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to h	2000	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to he Annual Performance Objective	2000	<u>Annual</u> (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to he Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to he Annual Performance Objective	2000	<u>Annual</u> (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to h Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 11 10.6	
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to h Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to h Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 10.6	mance Data 2003 11 10.6 Final	
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to h Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 10.6 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 11 10.6 Final	1
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to ha Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	Annual d	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.6 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 11 10.6 Final mance Data 2008	
e percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to he Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 10.6 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 11 10.6 Final	1
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual (2006 14	Objective and Perfor 2002 10.6 Objective and Perfor 2007	Final mance Data 2003 11 10.6 Final mance Data 2008 15	2009

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The sharp reduction in the numerator and denominator is due to a change in the way this indicator is reported. Instead of the number of newborns screened the denominator now represents the number of newborns screened and presumed positive for at least one condition. The numerator represents the number of presumptive positives who received appropriate follow-up.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data from the 2000-02 National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS) was applied to 2003. The survey will be administered again in 2005.

Denominator is based on a prevalence estimate of 12.4% of the child population in MN having a special health care need. Child population based on US Census estimate for 2003.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from the National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS).

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data from the 2000-02 National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS) was applied to 2003. The survey will be administered again in 2005.

Denominator is based on a prevalence estimate of 12.4% of the child population in MN having a special health care need. Child population based on US Census estimate for 2003.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from the National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS).

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data from the 2000-02 National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS) was applied to 2003. The survey will be administered again in 2005.

Denominator is based on a prevalence estimate of 12.4% of the child population in MN having a special health care need. Child population based on US Census estimate for 2003.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimate from National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS).

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The indicator reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure. Data from the National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS) administered 2000-02. Survey will be readministered in 2005.

Denominator is based on a prevalence estimate of 12.4% of the child population in MN having a special health care need. Child population based on US Census estimate for 2003.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data from the 2000-02 National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS) was applied to 2003. The survey will be administered again in 2005.

Denominator is based on a prevalence estimate of 12.4% of the child population in MN having a special health care need. Child population based on US Census estimate for 2003.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The percentage of children age 19 to 35 months old who have completed recommended immunizations is estimated from the National Immunization Survey. The denominator is estimated from the birth records, 19 to 35 months prior. The numerator is calculated as the percentage of children, age 19 to 35 months old, who have completed immunizations, multiplied by the denominator.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The percentage of children age 19 to 35 months old who have completed recommended immunizations is estimated from the National Immunization Survey. The denominator is estimated from the birth records, resident 2 year olds. The numerator is calculated as the percentage of children, age 19 to 35 months old, who have completed immunizations, multiplied by the denominator.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data for 2004 are not yet available.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source of data is MN birth records.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

As reported, this indicator represents the percent of Medicaid-eligible children (ages 6-12) who received protective sealants. Data on protective sealants among third grade children in the general population are not available.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #9 Field Name: PM09

Field Name: PM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source of data is death certificates.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Rate from "Mothers Survey, Ross Products Division, Ross Laboratories" is applied to the number of births in the state to calculate the numerator.

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The denominator is less than the total number of infants born in the state because not all birthing hospitals report on hearing screening.

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Rate is based on 85% of the 111 hospitals in MN that report directly on the occurrence of a newborn hearing screening. The remaining 15% of hospitals conduct the hearing screening but do not report.

Denominator is the number of births in the State.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Performance indicator from 2002 applied to 2003 because no new data are available. Source of 2002 data is the BRFSS.

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numerator is an estimate of the number of uninsured who are potentially eligible for Medicaid and MNCare.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source of data is birth certificates.

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source of data is death certificates.

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

39. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Minnesota's data on this performance measure has worsened significantly. Specifically, one urban hospital with a large number of annual births to high risk women converted from a Level III high risk perinatal center to a Level II in January, 2002.

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Birth record data and hospital information are used for this measure.

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

42. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source of data is birth records.

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

44. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Measure 1 was changed from number of counties to percent of children enrolled in the Follow Along Program.

Web system failure is preventing correct calculation of the annual indicator. The correct indicator is 8.19.

45. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The correct annual indicator is 8.91. (Web system failure is preventing correct calculation.)

46. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The annual indicator is 8.44. Web system failure is preventing correct calculation.

The numerator represents the number of children active in the Follow Along Program as of June 30th, 2004. Data are provided by local agencies. The denominator is based on vital records for 2001-2003.

This performance measure will be continued with some modification in the next Block Grant cycle.

47. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

HEDIS 2002 guidelines were used to define both the numerator and denominator. Data for 2001 were supplied by the states' licensed HMOs. Populations represented by the data are fully insured members of HMOs and county-based purchasing entities. Product lines include Commercial, Prepaid Medical Assistance Program (PMAP), and MNCare

48. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data are not available

49. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not available. This performance measure will not be continued in the next Block Grant cycle.

50. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for this measure for 2000, 2002 and 2003 have only included cases of deaths and hospitalizations within the MCH population and not cases treated in the ED as originally defined for this state performance measure. ED cases are not a good indication of state performance in addressing injury as they are generally unintentional.

This state performance measure will not be continued in the next Block Grant cycle.

51. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This state performance measure will not be continued in the next Block Grant cycle.

52. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Notes for this measure suggest that the numerator includes cases overall and by race and disability statue, but this is inaccurate. It only includes cases overall.

53. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available. This state performance measure will be modified in the next Block Grant cycle to include cases of substantiated and alternative response.

54. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data are based on PRAMS, which was administered by MN for the first time in 2002. Data is based on 8 months of data collection for that year.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data are not yet available.

56. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

This state performance measure will be continued in the next Block Grant cycle.

57. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Contrary to the definition of this state performance measure, the annual indicator represents only the percent of women who use tobacco during pregnancy. Data are from birth records, which are known to greatly underestimate the true rate of tobacco, alcohol and other drug use during pregnancy.

58. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This state performance measure will not be continued in the next Block Grant cycle.

59. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2002
Field Note:

2002 data are not yet available.

60. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Unique children all episodes of entering care. Denominator is 5.5% of the 2002 estimated population under 18 years of age. Indicator is the rate/1,000 children with disabilities.

61. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. This state performance measure will not be continued in the next Block Grant cycle.

62. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

New Performance measure data not yet available.

63. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2002 denominator was based on a synthetic estimate found to have been in error. No data is available for FY 2003. Beginning 9/1/2004 actual numbers will be tracked by the Department of Education.

64. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not available. This state performance measure will not be continued in the next Block Grant cycle.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MN

ne infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	anaa Dat			
	2000	2001	Annual O	2002	2003	<u>.a</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			5.9	5.9		5.3	2004	5.2
	5.6		5.4	5.3		4.7		4.6
	375		358	362		327		٦.
	67,452		66,617	68,037		70,053	D	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,				Final		Provisiona	al
			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmanco Dat	-		
	2005	2006	Allitual O	2007	2008	<u>.a</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	5.2		5	5		4.9		4
Denominator	Please fill in only not required for for	the Objectiv uture year d	ves for the al ata.	bove years. Numer	ator, Denomi	nator and	Annual Indio	cators
Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only not required for for	the Objectiviture year d	ata.				Annual Indic	cators
Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only not required for fi	iture year d	ata.	bjective and Perfo	ormance Dat			cators
Numerator Denominator TTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only not required for fi	iture year d	Annual O	bjective and Perfo	ormance Dat 2003	<u>a</u>	Annual Indio	cators
Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only not required for firrate.	ature year d	Annual O	bjective and Perfo 2002 2.1	ormance Dat 2003	<u>2</u>		
Numerator Denominator PTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	rate. 2000 2.6	2001	Annual O 2.6 2.1	bjective and Perfo 2002 2.1	ormance Dat 2003	2 1.9		
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	rate. 2000 2.6 14.6	2001	Annual O	bjective and Perfo 2002 2.1	ormance Dat 2003	<u>2</u>		
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	rate. 2000 2.6 14.6	2001	Annual O 2.6 2.1	bjective and Perfo 2002 2.1	ermance Dat 2003	2 1.9		
Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	rate. 2000 2.6 3.0 4.8	2001	Annual O 2.6 2.1 10.1	2002 2.1 2.1 9.8	ermance Dat 2003	2 1.9 7.8		1.
Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	rate. 2000 2.6 3.0 4.8	2001	Annual O 2.6 2.1 10.1 4.9	2002 2.1 2.1 9.8	prmance Dat 2003 Final	1.9 7.8 4.2	2004	1.
Numerator Denominator DTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	rate. 2000 2.6 3.0 4.8	2001	Annual O 2.6 2.1 10.1 4.9	2002 2.1 2.1 9.8	prmance Dat 2003 Final	1.9 7.8 4.2	2004	1.
Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for file rate. 2000 2.6 3.0 4.8 2005	2001 2006	Annual O 2.6 2.1 10.1 4.9	bjective and Performance 2002 2.1 2.1 9.8 4.7	Final promance Date 2003	1.9 7.8 4.2	2004 Provisiona	1.

The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
To record and more any rate por 1,000 me small		Δηι	nual Ohiectiv	e and Perfor	mance Da	ta		
	2000	2001	2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	3.7		3.7	3.5		3.5		3.2
Annual Indicator			3.5	3.5		3.1		3
Numerator	250		233	240		218	•	
Denominator		66.	617	68,037		70,053		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Provisiona	al
		<u>Anı</u>	nual Objectiv	e and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	3		2.9	2.9		2.8		2.
Annual Indicator								
Annual indicator					_			
	Diamentill in automate	ne Objectives for	r the above y	ears. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for ure year data.	r the above y	ears. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ure year data.		ve and Perfor			Annual India	cators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Ann 2001	nual Objectiv	ve and Perfor	rmance Da			
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Ann 2001	nual Objectiv 2002	re and Perfor	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		1.
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Anı 2001	nual Objectiv 2002	ve and Perfor	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		1.:
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 1.7 1.9	Anı 2001	1.9 Objectiv	1.5 1.8 122	rmance Da	1.4 1.6		1.:
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 1.7 1.9 125 67,452	Anı 2001	1.9	1.5 1.8 122	rmance Da	1.4 1.6 109		1.2
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 1.7 1.9 125 67,452	Anı 2001	1.9	1.5 1.8 122	mance Da 2003	1.4 1.6 109	2004	1
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 1.7 1.9 125 67,452	Anı 2001 66,	1.6 1.9 125 617	1.5 1.8 122 68,037	Final	1.4 1.6 109 70,053	2004 Provisiona	1.:
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.7 1.9 125 67,452	2001 Ani 2006	1.6 1.9 125 617	1.5 1.8 122 68,037	Final 2008	1.4 1.6 109 70,053	2004	1. 1.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 1.7 1.9 125 67,452 2005 1.3	2001 Ani 2006	1.6 1.9 125 617	1.5 1.8 122 68,037	Final 2008	1.4 1.6 109 70,053	2004 Provisiona	1. 1.
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 1.7 1.9 125 67,452 2005 1.3	2001 Ani 2006 Ani 2006	1.6 1.9 125 617 1.2007	1.5 1.8 122 68,037	Final 2008	1.4 1.6 109 70,053	2004 Provisiona 2009	1. 1.

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
the perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
		<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	7.1	5.6	5.3	5	4.
Annual Indicator	4.7	6.7	5.0	6.1	5.
Numerator	316	445	339	428	
Denominator	67,452	66,879	68,176	70,034	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.1	5	4.8	4.6	4.
Annual Indicator					
	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	ahove years. Numera	tor Donominator and	Annual Indicators
Niimaratar				ioi, Denominator and	Annual mulcalors
Numerator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Ivamera	ioi, Denominator and	Allitual mulcators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	ior, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	ior, periorilirator and	Allitual Illulcators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06		ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	2000	Annual o	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	2000 21.4	Annual (2001 21.4	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	2004 16.
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual o	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 21.4	Annual (2001 21.4	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004 16.
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 21.4 18.0 179	Annual 6 2001 21.4 17.2 168	Objective and Perfor 2002 17 22.5 217	mance Data 2003 17 17.7	2004 16.
PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 21.4 18.0 179 995,411	Annual 6 2001 21.4 17.2 168	Objective and Perfor 2002 17 22.5 217	2003 17 17.7 170	2004 16.
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 21.4 18.0 179 995,411	Annual 6 2001 21.4 17.2 168 974,679	Objective and Perfor 2002 17 22.5 217 963,248	17 2003 17 17.7 170 958,894 Final	2004 161
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 21.4 18.0 179 995,411	Annual 6 2001 21.4 17.2 168 974,679	Objective and Perfor 2002 17 22.5 217	17 2003 17 17.7 170 958,894 Final	2004 161
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.4 18.0 179 995,411	Annual (2001 21.4 17.2 168 974,679	Objective and Perfor 2002	17 2003 17 17.7 170 958,894 Final	2004 16. 1
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.4 18.0 179 995,411	Annual 6 2001 21.4 17.2 168 974,679 Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002 17 22.5 217 963,248 Objective and Perfor 2007	17 2003 17 17.7 170 958,894 Final	2004 16. 1 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 21.4 18.0 179 995,411 2005	Annual (2001 21.4 17.2 168 974,679 Annual (2006 16.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 17 22.5 217 963,248 Objective and Perfor 2007	17 2003 17 17.7 170 958,894 Final 2008	2004 16. 1 Provisional 2009

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3 Field Name: OM03

Field Name: OM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator represents the number of fetal deaths occurring at > or = 20 weeks gestation plus deaths occurring under 7 days.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

7. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

No statistically significant difference in rates between 2001 and 2002.

8. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: MN 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 2 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 1 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 2 Total Score: 13 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met

3 = Completely Met

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: MN FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. To improve access to care of CYSHCN (Medical home, specialty care and services, oral health, services organized for easy use)
- 2. To improve Early Identification of and intervention for CYSHCN (Birth to three).
- 3. To improve access to comprehensive mental health screening, evaluation, and treatment of CYSHCN.
- 4. To assure that children and adolescents receive quality, comprehensive health care, including well child care, immunizations, and dental health care.
- 5. To prevent child abuse and neglect.
- 6. To prevent teen pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections.
- 7. To promote mental health for children and adolescents, including suicide prevention.
- 8. To assure early and adequate prenatal care.
- 9. To eliminate racial and ethnic health disparities impacting mothers and infants.
- 10. To promote planned pregnancies and child spacing.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: MN APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 6	Assistance with developing a structure/system to build capacity for maternal mental health screening during prenatal visits.	Would like some focused support and process ideas from someone who has been successful at this.	unknown
2.	Data-related Issues - Data Systems Development If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Assistance with planning for integration of data systems.	Looking for some new ideas, successful models, and problem-solving.	unknown
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MN

SP#

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of children, aged birth to three, who are provided with ongoing screening for developmental or medical

concerns through the Follow Along Program.

Active STATUS:

GOAL To have all public health agencies tracking children ages 0-3 with identified risk factors which may lead to chronic

disabilities in a tracking system.

DEFINITION Note that this performance measure was modified this year to address percent of children followed rather than number of

counties with a program.

Numerator:

The number of children 0-3 in the Follow Along Program.

Denominator:

The number of children aged birth to three.

Units: 1000 Text: Rate

17.20 Service systems for children with or at risk of chronic and disabling conditions. **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data source: Tracking and Follow-Along participating county data.

SIGNIFICANCE

Periodic monitoring and assessment of infants and toddlers at risk for health or developmental problems ensures early identification, help and services. The process improves the chances of identifying health or development problems before the child reaches school age; prevents the onset or reduces the impact of secondary complications; facilitates early intervention services for the child; affords parents support at a critical tme and assures that the child receives more than one look by a qualified professional.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: % of children & adolescent enrolled in health plans for 12 months with no gap > 45 days & who receive nationally accepted

standard comprehensive health visits.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL An increase in the number of children and adolescents receiving annual preventive health visits.

DEFINITION Change "HEDIS 3.0" to "HEDIS 2001" for both numerator and denominator definitions.

Numerator:

The numerator will be the same as that used in HEDIS 3.0 Use of Services for infants birth through 15 months, children 3

through 6, and adolescents 12 to 21.

Denominator:

The denominator will be the same as that used in HEDIS 3.0 Use of Services for infants birth through 15 months, children 3

through 6, and adolescents 12-21.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 21.2 Receipt of recommended services

Increase the proportion of people who have received selected clinical preventive screening and immunization services and

at least one of the counseling services appropriate for their age and gender as recommended by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.

Services Task Force.

21.5 Clinical preventive services from publicly funded programs

Ensure that at least 90 percent of the people for whom primary care services are provided directly by publicly funded programs are offered, as a minimum, the screening counseling, and immunization services recommended by the U.S.

Preventive Services Task Force.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Source: Minnesota fully insured health plans and county based Issues: Denominator linked to children/adolescents enrolled

on a continuous basis in fully insured Medicaid Health Maintenance Organizations. Data is available to the public

http:/www.health.state.mn.us.us/divs/hpsc/mcs/datarptg.htm.

SIGNIFICANCE Periodic visits for infants and annual visits for older children and adolescents is one of the best methods for detection of

physical, developmental, behavioral or emotional problems so appropriate treatment can be given, as well as providing

opportunities for health promotion and disease prevention education.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Incidence of injury (violence/unintended; fatal/nonfatal) to all MCH populations.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the incidence of injury, fatal and non-fatal, by all causes to all maternal and child populations.

The focus on mortality and morbidity analyses will include any mention of injury versus injury as an underlying cause of **DEFINITION**

death, as indicated by the presence of an e-code. Maternal is defined as women aged 15 to 44 years. Children are defined as being birth through 19 years of age. The denominator for the maternal/child group is both genders, birth through 19, and women aged 20 through 44. TBI/SCI refers to traumatic brain and spinal cord injury. ED refers to the hospital emergency

department.

Numerator:

The numerator will contain the number of deaths, hospitalizations or injuries treated in the ED by the given age group,

gender and other classification.

Denominator:

The denominator are the people in that age group (or other classification) who are at risk for the event listed or described in the numerator. Calculation e.g. $10/53,799 \times 100,000 = \text{rate}$

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Reduce all unintentional and violent injuries to the MCH population.

Data Sources: The E-code matrix will be applied to mortality data (death certificate data); to TBI/SCI data (statewide hospitalized neurologic injury from the TBI/SCI Registry); to hospitalized trauma (statewide hospitalized injury resulting in **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

admission to a Minnesota hospital, available beginning in 1998); and to ED treated injury (identified and reported from the

Universal Bill, available beginning in 1998).

Unintentional injury and violence are the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in children and youth of both genders, SIGNIFICANCE

and among women through the age of 34 in Minnesota.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Incidence of substantiated child maltreatment by persons responsible for a child's care.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the overall incidence of substantiated child maltreatment.

DEFINITIONChildren with disabilities will be no more likely to be victims of substantiated child maltreatment than their same-aged non-

disabled peers. Maltreatment rates will be less disparate across racial groups.

Numerator:

The number of substantiated child maltreatment cases overall and by race and disability status.

Denominator:

The number of children 0-18. **Units:** 1000 **Text:** Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 7.4 child abuse and neglect.

Reverse to less than 22.6 per 1,000 children the rising incidence of substantiated and indicated maltreatment in children

younger than 18.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Source: Minnesota Department of Human Services data supplied by local human service agencies. While this is the best

data source available, it is difficult to assess the extent of underreporting. A legislative auitors report in 1998 revealed

variations between counties in the investigation and substantiation of child maltreatment.

SIGNIFICANCE While Minnesota's rate of substantiated child maltreatment does not appear alarming when compared to the Healthy People

2000 goal, children with disabilities are nearly twice as likely as their same-aged non-disabled peers to be victims of maltreatment. In addition, there is a 10 fold difference in likelihood of maltreatment between the lowest risk racial group

(Asian) and the highest risk racial group (African American).

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnancies that are unintended.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the percentage of pregnancies that are unintended.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of women reporting that their current or most recent pregnancy was unintended, as determined by their response to the BRFSS intendedness question.

Denominator:

The number of women identified during the state's BFRSS survey as either currently pregnant or pregnant within the last

five years, who agree to respond to the question on pregnancy intendedness.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

5.2 Unintended pregnancy **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

Reduce to no more than 30 percent the proportion of all pregnancies that are unintended.

Source: Annual BRFSS survey, using CDC's standard questions on intendedness. Issues: Recall bias will vary among **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

those currently pregnant, and those rpregnant within the last five years.

SIGNIFICANCE Pregnancy intendedness is directly related to pregnancy outcome, infant mortality and child health outcomes.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during pregnancy

STATUS: Active

GOAL To decrease the percentage of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during pregnancy.

DEFINITION Ne

Numerator:

The number of women who use alcohol, tobacco and other drugs during pregnancy.

Denominator:

The number of occurent births in the state in the calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 14.10 Alcohol, tobacco, and drug use during pregnancy.

Increase abstinence from tobacco by pregnant women to at least 90 percent, increase abstinence from alcohol by pregnant

women to at least 90 percent and increase abstinence from cocaine and marijuana to 100 percent.

Data Sources and Data Issues

Data on tobacco use during pregnancy is currently available from the Minnesota birth certificate. The data provided on form 11 relates to tobacco use during pregnancy only and does not include information on alcohol or drug use during pregnancy.

Data on alcohol and drug use during pregnancy is not currently available. Three possibilities exist for collecting this data in the future. One option involves the number of women recorded on the Minnesota Pregnancy Assessment Form to have used alcohol or street drugs. MDH would have to receive this disidentified aggregate data from managed care organizations and the Department of Human Services. [With the approval by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) of a

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), another option is becoming available.]

SIGNIFICANCE Health professionals concur that tobacco, alcohol and other drug use is injurious to the fetus during pregnancy.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate per 1,000 children with disabilities who are in out- of-home placement due to disabilities.

STATUS:

Maintain the rate of children under age 18 in out-of-home placement due to a disability at 9 per 1,000 children with special GOAL

health needs.

DEFINITION Need

Numerator:

The number of children entering in out-of-home settings due to a disability.

Denominator:

Estimate of disabled children (5% of the population < age 18).

Units: 1000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

None.

The National Agenda for Children with Special Health Care Needs: Achieving the Goals 2000: #1 Sustain the consensus for

Building Community-Based and Family-Centered Systems of Care.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

1. Minnesota Department of Human Services. 2. Minnesota Department of Health, Division of Facility and Provider

SIGNIFICANCE

One of the overarching goals for children's programs in Minnesota has been the development of community-based, familycentered system of care and services for children with chronic illness and disabilities. We believe that children with disabilities belong in loving families in their own communities and that federal and state resources should be made available to support families in their efforts to maintain their children at home. Over the last 3 years, many of the state and federal resources used to support these efforts have, at the very least been threatened, and at worst, lost altogether. Like infant mortality as a health outcome indicator, out-of-home placement for children with disabilities represents a very sad, worst case indicator of the failure of the system to meet the needs of children and families. It is an indicator none-the-less.

The percentage of children birth through 21 years of age eligible to have an Individual Interagency Intervention Plan (IIP) **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:**

who have a IIIP.

STATUS:

GOAL To increase the number of children with disabilities whose care is coordinated.

A IIIP, or Individual Interagency Intervention Plan, is a standardized written plan that describes programs or services and **DEFINITION**

their accompanying funding services available to eligible children with disabilities.

Numerator:

The number of children with IIIP.

Denominator:

The number of children expected to be eligible for a IIIP (30% of the children in special education).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 17.20 Service systems for children with or at risk of chronic and disabling conditions.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data is from the Minnesota Department of Education. Data issues include varying software packages in use by local agencies with only one linked directly to MDE. Differentiating use of the IIIP form versus interagency processes also needs

to be addressed.

SIGNIFICANCE The purpose is to streamline service delivery by reducing duplication of services from multiple service providers and by

increasing collaboration and cooperation among partners providing service to children, youth and families.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MN

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASU	RE # ()1
-------------------------------	--------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

		Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	29.1	24.9	25.9	28.4			
Numerator	1,022	896	858	973	-		
Denominator	351,456	359,623	331,177	342,137			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

		Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	72.2	72.2	77.4	85.7				
Numerator	30,622		37,954	47,494				
Denominator	42,432		49,049	55,420				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final				

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

		Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	0	0	0	0	0			
Numerator								
Denominator								
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

Is the Data P

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

		Annual Indicator Data							
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004				
Annual Indicator	68.7	76.5	77.1	77.5	77.2				
Numerator	46,207	51,453	52,313	54,180	-				
Denominator	67,259	67,259	67,857	69,880					
Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional				

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	44.0	41.4	41.2	45.9	46.7
Numerator	28,338	26,454	27,107	32,063	33,479
Denominator	64,424	63,831	65,746	69,788	71,68
In the Bete Beech land on Election					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08		ces from the State Ch	•	ealth Care Needs (CS	
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08		ces from the State Ch	nildren with Special House Dispersion Disper	ealth Care Needs (CS	
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08 The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receivin	g rehabilitative servi		Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS	SHCN) Program.

6,934

Denominator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

8,726

Final

7,<u>190</u>

9,593

Final

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The large increase in the indicator is due, in part, to an increase in the number of children enrolled in Medicaid as well as a slightly different and more accurate way to analyze these data.

The new analysis includes infants in the denominator who were "age zero at ANY point during the year." In the past, some infants were missed because age was defined at the end of each month. Using this method, infants were lost if they had their 1st birthday in January of the year because they were age 1 as of the last day of that month, which overrode the fact that they were age zero for a few days in the year.

The numerator is higher for a similar reason. In the past, screenings during the year were counted only for those months in which the child was age zero at the end of the month. The new analysis counts screenings for kids who were age zero at any point in the year; even if they had moved into the age 1 category when the screening was rendered.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

We don't have SCHIP.

. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

SCHIP eligibility in infants 0-2 is between 275% and 280% FPG.

Because of Minnesota Care eligibility to 275%, the numbers of children impacted by SCHIP eligibility is very small.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

SCHIP eligibility in infants 0-2 is between 275% and 280% FPG.

Because of Minnesota Care eligibility to 275%, the numbers of children impacted by SCHIP eligibility is very small.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Minnesota Medicaid covers rehabilitative services.

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Minnesota Medicaid covers rehabilitative services.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: MN

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15	2.2. 20022	POPULATION		
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Matching data files	7.4	5.8	6.3
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2001	Matching data files	6.8	5.3	5.6
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Matching data files	74.7	91.8	86.5
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Matching data files	72.2	80	77.5

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MN

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	275
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 2) (Age range 2 to 18) (Age range 19 to 20)	2004	275 150 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	275

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MN

YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
2004	280_
2004	
2004	275
	2004

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006

MN does not support pregnant women with SCHIP dollars at this time.

Section Number: Indicator 05

Field Name: InfantDeath
Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name:
Year: 2006
Field Note:
2002 and 2003 data are not yet available.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MN

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	1	No
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes
41 4 11		1

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MN

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)	
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No	
Other: MN Student Survey	3	Yes	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	No
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

*Where:

- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group. 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MN

EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A							
LALIN GIANG INDICATOR MEASURE # VIA							
ne percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.							
				Annual Indicator Da	<u>nta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicato	or6	.1	6.3	6.3	6.3		6.3
Numerato	or4,14	10	4,179	4,282	4,418		
Denominato	or 67,40)3	66,587	68,010	70,023		
Is the Data Provisional or Final					Final	Provisional	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B							
ne percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.							
				Annual Indicator Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicato	or4	.5	4.6	4.6	4.7		4.6
Numerato	or2,89	9	2,956	3,046	3,146		
Denominato	or 65,04	19	64,274	65,636	67,606		
Is the Data Provisional or Final					Final	Provisional	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A							
ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.							
				Annual Indicator Da	40		
Ad In Proceedings	2000	2001	4.0	2002	2003	2004	4.4
	or <u>1</u>	.1	1.2	1.2	2003	2004	1.1
Numerato	or 1	16	819	1.2 828	2003 1.1 760	2004	1.1
Numerato	or 1 or 74 or 67,40	16		1.2	2003	2004 Provisional	1.1

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	8.2	8.9	10.0	7.6	7.
	87		109	78	
	1,060,493	1,039,285	1,085,097	1,024,333	1
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
Amuni Indicator	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	3.1	2.6	4.2	3.5	3.
	33		46	36	
Denominator	1,060,493	1 039 285	1,085,097	1 024 222	
		1,000,200	1,000,007	1,024,333	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		1,000,200	1,000,001	Final	Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vo Annual Indicator	ehicle crashes amor 2000 24.3	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 21.6	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 23.7	Final ata 2003 25.0	Provisional 2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version. Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 24.3	2001 21.6	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Day 2002 23.7	Final ata 2003 25.0 186	2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor verification. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 24.3	2001 21.6	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 23.7	Final 2003 25.0 186 745,136	2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version. Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 24.3	2001 21.6	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Day 2002 23.7	Final ata 2003 25.0 186	2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 24.3 169 696,845	2001 21.6	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Day 2002 23.7	Final 2003 25.0 186 745,136 Final	2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume to the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume to the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 years are per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among childre	2000 24.3 169 696,845 ears and younger.	2001 21.6 152 705,131	Annual Indicator Day Annual Indicator Day 23.7 169 713,019	Final 2003 25.0 186 745,136 Final	2004 Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume to the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume to the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries among children aged 14 y Annual Indicator	2000 24.3 169 696,845 ears and younger. 2000	2001 21.6 152 705,131 2001 196.4	Annual Indicator Day Annual Indicator Day 23.7 169 713,019 Annual Indicator Day 2002	Final 2003 25.0 186 745,136 Final 2003 220.1	2004 2: Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume and the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor volume and indicator. Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 24.3 169 696,845 ears and younger. 2000 172.8 1,833	2001 21.6 152 705,131 2001 196.4	Annual Indicator Day 23.7 169 713,019 Annual Indicator Day 2002 203.7 2,210	Final 2003 25.0 186 745,136 Final	2004 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator		20.3	23.5	20.5	20.
	236			210	
	1,060,493	1,039,285	1,085,097	1,024,333	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator		108.8	86.1	111.0	10
	753		614	827	
	696,845	705,131	713,019	745,136	
				Et a d	B 1.1
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	2001	2002	ata 2003	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	13.1	2002 15.1	ata 2003 16.2	
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the control of the	2000 12.5	2,390	2002 15.1 2,760	ata 2003 16.2 2,979	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 12.5 2,299 183,499	2,390	2002 15.1 2,760	2003 16.2 2,979 183,820	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the control of the co	2000 12.5 2,299 183,499	2,390	2002 15.1 2,760	ata 2003 16.2 2,979	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000	2000 12.5 2,299 183,499 case of chlamydia.	13.1 2,390 182,828	15.1 2,760 182,828 Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003 16.2 2,979 183,820 Final	2004 15. Provisional
Annual Indicator Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? BEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 12.5 2,299 183,499 case of chlamydia.	13.1 2,390 182,828	15.1 2,760 182,828 Annual Indicator Da 2002	ata 2003 16.2 2,979 183,820 Final	2004 15. Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the ra	2000 12.5 2,299 183,499 case of chlamydia.	13.1 2,390 182,828 2001	2002 15.1 2,760 182,828 Annual Indicator Day 2002 4.9	ata 2003 16.2 2,979 183,820 Final ata 2003 5.2	2004 15. Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the state per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the	2000 12.5 2,299 183,499 2ase of chlamydia. 2000 3.9 3,387	13.1 2,390 182,828 2001	15.1 2,760 182,828 Annual Indicator Da 2002	ata 2003 16.2 2,979 183,820 Final	2004 15. Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 data are not yet available.

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: The 2004 data are not yet available.

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	65,439	55,434	5,545	1,199	3,261			
Children 1 through 4	260,605	223,189	19,672	4,713	13,031			
Children 5 through 9	333,375	287,539	22,967	5,935	16,934			
Children 10 through 14	364,932	316,955	23,568	6,859	17,550			
Children 15 through 19	376,843	333,379	19,904	6,729	16,831			
Children 20 through 24	368,293	325,245	19,333	5,984	17,731			
Children 0 through 24	1,769,487	1,541,741	110,989	31,419	85,338	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	61,101	4,338	
Children 1 through 4	244,090	16,515	
Children 5 through 9	315,602	17,773	
Children 10 through 14	350,065	14,867	
Children 15 through 19	363,934	12,909	
Children 20 through 24	350,475	17,818	
Children 0 through 24	1,685,267	84,220	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	68	16	18	6	5	0	4	19
Women 15 through 17	1,451	663	228	102	139	1	51	267
Women 18 through 19	3,417	1,916	424	180	247	4	95	551
Women 20 through 34	54,679	40,611	4,072	837	3,063	36	457	5,603
Women 35 or older	10,775	8,902	564	79	490	8	48	684
Women of all ages	70,390	52,108	5,306	1,204	3,944	49	655	7,124

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	50	18	0
Women 15 through 17	1,181	252	18
Women 18 through 19	2,858	514	45
Women 20 through 34	49,883	4,081	715
Women 35 or older	10,160	455	160
Women of all ages	64,132	5,320	938

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	320	218	55	11	10	0	11	15
Children 1 through 4	65	44	4	6	2	0	4	5
Children 5 through 9	39	28	3	1	4	0	1	2
Children 10 through 14	60	44	4	3	4	0	1	4
Children 15 through 19	187	151	13	8	5	0	0	10
Children 20 through 24	215	157	27	5	5	0	1	20
Children 0 through 24	886	642	106	34	30	0	18	56

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	296	30	3
Children 1 through 4	57	9	3
Children 5 through 9	37	5	0
Children 10 through 14	57	2	1
Children 15 through 19	180	11	1
Children 20 through 24	210	7	2
Children 0 through 24	837	64	10

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,401,176	1,197,011.0	78,025.0	22,463.0	62,542.0	725.0	40,410.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	20.9	17.3	62.3	62.0	16.0	35.7	72.6	31.8	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	7.6	3.4	46.5	50.7	14.7	16.9	8.4		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	399,441	226,157.0	75,670.0	17,813.0	29,792.0	382.0	8,293.0	41,334.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2004
Number living in foster home care	15,253	8,568.0	3,270.0	1,805.0	348.0	6.0	980.0	276.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	291,774	134,982.0	86,864.0	20,349.0	22,882.0	295.0	8,645.0	17,757.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	114,808	62,800.0	19,403.0	4,592.0	9,759.0			18,254.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	3,663.0	3,146.0	12,240.0	9,473.0	2,584.0				2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.0	3.9	6.2	5.4	2.6				2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,334,774.0	66,402.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent		33.0		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	7.1	16.6		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	357,777.0	41,664.0		2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0	0		2004
Number living in foster home care	14,231.0	1,063.0		2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	261,702.0	30,072.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	96,668.0	18,140.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	3,740.0	1,533.0		2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.3	7.4		2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	768,028	
Living in urban areas	994,834	
Living in rural areas	406,341	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	1,401,175	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MN

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	5,100,958.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	3.2
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	21.6

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MN

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	1,401,176.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	3.9
100% of poverty	8.8
200% of poverty	23.5

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The US Census has not released population estimates for 2004 yet, so these numbers reflect the population estimates for 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Population estimates by race and ethnicity are not yet available for 2004. These estimates are based on 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2000 Census.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The number of children enrolled in SCHIP is minimal (approx 15).

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This table includes children in Food-Stamp Program (non-MFIP) cases,

plus children in MFIP Cases which received a federal (Food-Stamp Program) food assistance benefit. It does not count children who received no food benefit or whose food benefit was paid from sources other than the federal Food Stamp Program.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2003 data.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2000-01 data.

Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2003 data.

Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census.

10. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_JuvenileCrimeRate
Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2003 data.

11. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimates based on 2000-01 data.

12. Section Number: Indicator 11

Field Name: S11_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census.

13. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census and 2002 Census estimate.

14. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty Column Name:

Column Nan Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census.

15. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_50percent

Field Name: S12_50percent Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census.

Section Number: Indicator 12
 Field Name: S12_100percent
 Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census.

17. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census.

18. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace FosterCare

Field Name: HSIRace_FosterCare
Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data available represents children in out-of-home placement generally and not foster care homes, specifically. However, approximately 57% of out-of-home placement

settings are foster care homes.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MN

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Proportion of counties that universally offer the Follow-Along Program, or an equivalent approved tracking program, to all

children birth to age three.

GOAL Improve early identification of and participation in early intervention for Children with Special Health Care Needs

(CSHCN), birth to three years.

DEFINITIONThis performance measure was designed to track children from the time of birth to age three for the purpose of identifying

those who may have special health care needs.

Numerator:

Number of counties that implement the Follow-Along Program, or an equivalent approved tracking system, universally.

Denominator:

Total number of counties in Minnesota (N=87)

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVENot applicable to this measure.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Data Sources: MN Follow-Along Program; Local Public Health data (counties)

SIGNIFICANCE Early identification, screening and referral systems identify children's strengths as well as their needs. These systems can maximize healthy child development and minimize adverse health, social and emotional incidents. Universal screening of

all children, birth to age three—regardless of perceived risk factors—promotes thorough identification of those with special health care needs and subsequent provision of intervention services to children who are eligible under Part C of

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

2

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children enrolled in Medicaid who receive Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT), also

known as Child & Teen Checkup (CTC) in MN.

Assure that children and adolescents receive comprehensive health care, including well-child care, immunizations, and GOAL

dental health care.

DEFINITION This measure addresses both preventive and comprehensive health care for children and adolescents receiving Medicaid.

The extent of dental health care varies with the specific provider but always includes at least dental health screening.

Number of Minnesota children enrolled in Medicaid who receive comprehensive EPSDT in any given year.

All Minnesota children enrolled in Medicaid in any given year.

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Not applicable to this measure.

Data Source: MN Dept. of Human Services (MDHS) Data Issues: These data are limited to children and adolescents **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

receiving Medicaid. Estimates must be made for the larger child and adolescent population.

Well-child care reduces long-term costs by encompassing a variety of health promoting/disease preventing services and by providing opportunities to detect and treat health conditions early. Within the Medicaid population, as in the entire population of children and adolescents in Minnesota, incidence of chronic disease is growing—particularly childhood obesity, diabetes, asthma, mental health disorders, and injuries. Early detection and treatment may assist in reversing this trend.

OBJECTIVE ||2006||2007||2008||2009||2010|

Page 86 of 95

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of sexually active ninth and twelfth grade students who used a condom at last intercourse.

Prevent teen pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections(STIs). GOAL

This measure seeks to monitor the prevention of teen pregnancy and STIs by determining (1) the number of sexually active **DEFINITION**

ninth and twelfth grade students and (2) the percentage of those students who used a condom at last intercourse.

Numerator:

Number of sexually active ninth and twelfth grade students reporting on the Minnesota Student Survey (MSS) in any given

year that they used a condom at last intercourse.

Denominator:

Total number of sexually active ninth and twelfth grade students responding to the MSS in any given year.

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE #9-7 (Reduce pregnancies among adolescent females).

#25-11 (Increase the proportion of adolescents who abstain from sexual intercourse or use condoms if sexually active).

Data Source: MSS Data Issues: MSS is administered by the MN Dept. of Education (MDE) in most Minnesota public **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** schools every three years beginning in sixth grade. While this system provides relative consistency of data across grade

levels (6th and 9th graders take survey again in 9th and 12th grades), data is unavailable during intervening years.

Teen pregnancy has been steadily decreasing in recent years but has reached a plateau in Minnesota, while STIs have continued to increase among females and among adolescents and young adults, with significant disparities among some

racial/ethnic groups. Tracking condom use by high school students is one method of targeting positive interventions for

sexually active teens in both of these critical areas.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Incidence of cases of child maltreatment by persons responsible for a child's care.

GOAL

Prevent child abuse and neglect.

DEFINITION

For our purposes, a "case" is defined as a child maltreatment report which has met the initial statutory screening criteria (conducted via telephone by the Department of Human Services) and has been forwarded to the field for additional assessment, which may result in "alleged" or "determined" cases. Alleged cases are evaluated either by "traditional assessment" (a conventional, proscribed investigative method in which approximately half of the alleged cases become determined cases) or "alternative assessment," in which a definite determination of abuse/neglect is not made. Instead, families are provided with needed services that address both their strengths and weaknesses.

Numerator:

Number of new statewide child maltreatment cases, birth to 17 years, for any given year.

Denominator:

Total number of Minnesota children, birth to 17 years, for any given year.

Units: 1000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

#15-33 (Reduce maltreatment and maltreatment fatalities of children)

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data Sources: MN Department of Human Services (MDHS); MN Department of Health (MDH), Center for Vital Statistics. Data Issues: Abuse and neglect are traditionally underreported; therefore, both incidence and prevalence are usually underestimated. While it might be advantageous to track the number of children allegedly maltreated rather than the number of maltreatment cases, many children would be counted more than once due to the enormous volume of child maltreatment reports received by MDHS. A "case" is a more consistent measure because it consolidates all of the reports received on a particular family or household, even though that family/household may contain more than one child.

SIGNIFICANCE

Child maltreatment is among the most prevalent and far-reaching forms of violence in Minnesota. All four maltreatment types (neglect, physical abuse, sexual abuse, mental/emotional injury) are represented here. Further, child and adolescent maltreatment often precedes adult violence and substance misuse/addiction as the abused child grows older. Alternative assessment/response to child maltreatment is an area of potentially great rewards because it spans both prevention and treatment. It is estimated that 70% of the Minnesota families reported to the child protection system could derive greater benefit from the alternative rather than the traditional response. Tracking the incidence of all cases of child maltreatment (both traditional and alternative response) should lead to greater insight in both prevention and treatment methods, as well as ultimate reduction in the incidence of child maltreatment.

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnancies that are intended.

GOAL

To promote planned pregnancies and child spacing among women and their families.

Adopting a more positive approach, this performance measure is the reverse of our previous measure: Percentage of **DEFINITION** pregnancies that are unintended (SPM #05, 2000-2005). It also captures the aspect of child spacing by planning

pregnancies that have optimal spacing between them.

Numerator:

Number of women per year responding on the annual Minnesota Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS)

survey that they became pregnant intentionally.

Denominator:

Total number of women responding to the annual PRAMS survey each year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

#9-1 (Increase proportion of pregnancies that are intended.)

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Source: Annual Minnesota PRAMS survey. Data Issues: This measure depends upon accuracy of self-report procedure as well as response rate to the annual survey. This data has been weighted according to the uniform procedure established by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) for all states and territories participating in PRAMS. For Minnesota, this is particularly important as we intentionally oversampled two minority populations: American Indians and Blacks. The weighting procedure assures that the responses of these persons will be given weight equal to their actual proportional representation in the population, rather than to their increased numbers in the PRAMS survey.

Pregnancies which are intended and/or planned will likely result in improved health outcomes, lower occurrence of **SIGNIFICANCE** perinatal/postpartum depression, fewer abortions, decreased child maltreatment and other negative outcomes for pregnant

women, infants and children.

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnant women screened for depression during routine prenatal care.

Goal To assure that screening for perinatal depression becomes a standard component of early and adequate prenatal care for

vomen

DEFINITIONThis performance measure was designed to capture an element of early and adequate prenatal care that is not currently

being measured in Minnesota.

Numerator:

Number of women per year responding on the Minnesota Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) survey

that they talked with a doctor, nurse or other health care provider about postpartum depression.

Denominator:

Total number of women responding to the annual PRAMS survey each year.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

#18-6 (Increase number of persons seen in primary health care who receive mental health screening & assessment); #16-5

(Reduce maternal illness & complications due to pregnancy);

#16-5 (Early & adequate prenatal care)

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Source: Annual Minnesota PRAMS survey. Data Issues: This measure depends upon accuracy of self-report procedure as well as response rate to the ongoing annual survey. This data has been weighted according to the uniform procedure

well as response rate to the origining affiliat survey. This data has been weighted according to the uniform procedure established by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) for all states and territories participating in PRAMS. For Minnesota, this is particularly important as we intentionally oversampled two minority populations: American Indians and Blacks. The weighting procedure assures that the responses of these persons will be given weight equal to their actual proportional

representation in the population, rather than to their increased numbers in the PRAMS survey.

Perinatal depression is a critical element of care for pregnant women, in terms of both mother's and child's well-being.

Currently it is not routinely addressed in Minnesota. Screening is the initial step in determining whether treatment or

intervention may be appropriate.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The degree to which Title V programs enhance statewide capacity for a public health approach to mental health promotion and suicide prevention for children and adolescents.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Promote mental health for children and adolescents, including suicide prevention.

This is a process goal to be accomplished in four stages. Attainment of this goal will be evidenced by the development and implementation of a statewide plan to build capacity for the role of public health in mental health promotion and suicide prevention for children and adolescents.

N/A (Process measure) Stage 1—During the first year Title V staff will work internally to: (1) determine the extent and current status of all mental health activities across all divisions at MDH; (2) develop a comprehensive framework which includes the location and role of mental health within the Department (i.e., where mental health "fits" at MDH); (3) draft a mission statement which outlines our vision of and commitment to mental health promotion and suicide prevention throughout the state, focusing on the child and adolescent population. Included will be the role of public health in attaining that vision. Stage 2—During the second and third years Title V staff will work with local public health (LPH) agencies to: (1) determine the additional capacity and training needs of LPH nurses, health educators and other local staff regarding mental health promotion and suicide prevention; and (2) support development of needed resources and capacity for our LPH constituents statewide.

Denominator:

(cont. from numerator) Stage 3—Based on this assessment MDH will draft a statewide mental health promotion/ suicide prevention training plan, together with an evaluation component, in year four. Stage 4—Finally, during year five MDH will begin implementation of its statewide training plan, accompanied by evaluation and other data collection related to the plan.

Units: Yes Text: 4

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

#18-7 (Increase the proportion of children with mental health problems who receive treatment)

#18-2 (Reduce the rate of suicide attempts by adolescents).

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data Source: Minnesota Student Survey (MSS); ASQ (Ages and States Questionnaire); Social Emotional (SE) component; Local county health boards (CHBs); MDH home visiting data; Data derived from the statewide training plan itself. Also, there will be multiple sources of qualitative data. Data Issues: At the present time we have no baseline data regarding the prevalence or incidence of mental health issues in Minnesota, largely because mental health has not been viewed as a public health priority until recently. Quantitative data on mental health is difficult to acquire, as most data relates to mental illness, but we will continue our efforts to gather both qualitative and quantitative data on mental health.

Mental disorders were the sixth leading cause of emergency room visits among 5-19 year olds in Minnesota and the leading cause of hospitalization for 5-14 year olds in 2001. From 1998-2002 suicide was the third and second leading cause of death for 10-14 year olds and 15-19 year olds, respectively. Today's numbers are very similar. Public Health Title V programs need to adopt a proactive stance to address these issues by advancing a statewide mental health promotion plan.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

SIGNIFICANCE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of low birth weight (LBW) births (<2500 grams) for American Indian women and women of color.

To eliminate racial and ethnic health disparities impacting pregnant women, mothers and infants. GOAL

DEFINITION Birth weight is a prominent and reliable indicator of health disparities between white and non-white women in Minnesota.

Total number of LBW births per year in Minnesota, stratified by race/ ethnic group.

Denominator:

Total number of live births per year in Minnesota, stratified by race/ethnic group.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

#16-10 (Reduce low birth weight and very low birth weight.) **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

Source: State of Minnesota Vital Statistics birth records. Data Issues: This measure depends upon the accuracy of

individual birth records.

There are substantial health disparities for pregnant women, mothers and infants in Minnesota. Many of these disparities **SIGNIFICANCE**

are masked by the excellent health outcomes and very high proportion of our white population. Birth weight outcomes are a key indicator of such disparities and a crucial area for improvement. Although very low birth weight infants (VLBW; <1500 grams) are more seriously ill, more likely to die, and incur the most significant short and long term health and education costs, public health has limited ability to affect VLBW which is more in the domain of the maternal and fetal medical care system. Public health can, however, impact low birth weight rates by encouraging early and regular prenatal care, enrollment in WIC, supporting smoking cessation during pregnancy, teaching signs of early labor, assessing for and helping pregnant women deal with stress, and educating about healthy birth intervals.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Children and Youth with Special Health Care Needs (CYSHCN) with one or more unmet needs for specific health

care services.

GOAL Improve access for CYSHCN to comprehensive care, including specialty services and oral health.

DEFINITIONThis measure will identify the percent of CYSHCN who have not received all needed oral health or specialty medical

services.

N/A

Numerator:

Number of CYSHCN with one or more unmet service needs.

Denominator:

Total number of identified CYSHCN in Minnesota.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Data Sources: State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey (SLAITS), CYSHCN version. Data issues: SLAITS data

not available every year.

SIGNIFICANCE

CYSHCN often have multiple disabilities and service needs cutting across several areas. Thus it is critical to have access to a variety of specialized services, as well as oral health care. Of those children in Minnesota who needed specialty services

in 2001, nearly 23,000 (14%) had one or more unmet needs, placing MN last in the Upper Midwest in meeting specialized

service needs for CYSHCN.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Degree to which comprehensive mental health screening, evaluation, and treatment is provided to Children and Youth with PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Special Health Care Needs (CYSHCN).

GOAL

DEFINITION

Improve access to comprehensive mental health screening, evaluation, and treatment for CYSHCN.

This specially constructed composite measure was designed to capture three basic mental health identification and service areas: screening, evaluation, and treatment. The scale contained within the measure also has five sub-areas that can be

summed across areas for a total score.

Numerator:

N/A

Denominator:

N/A Units: Scale contains a maximum of 20 units or possible points. Text: Direction and degree of change on this scale (-4 to +4) will be calculated for each of the five sub-scales and aggregated to form a composite number. Individual components, as well as total scores, will be tracked across the years. This process will permit each sub-scale to be assessed individually,

leading to greater identification of areas attaining or needing improvement.

Units: 1 Text: 5

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE #18-7 (Increase the proportion of children with mental health problems who receive treatment.)

Data sources: Local Development and Behavior Clinics (DBC) process evaluation; MN Follow-Along Program (FAP) **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** reports; MN Dept. of Human Services (MDHS), Children's Mental Health data; State & Local Area Integrated Telephone

Survey (SLAITS), CYSHCN survey; DBC family survey. Data Issues: Specially constructed scale not standardized. SLAITS

data not available every year.

Anxiety, depression (including suicidal thoughts) and other mental disorders often occur among CYSHCN. In addition, SIGNIFICANCE

CYSHCN are highly vulnerable to maltreatment, including neglect and physical, sexual, and mental abuse. Early

identification of and intervention in mental health issues are critical in this population.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010